

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/862,732	05/22/2001	Ting Dean Cheng	IBMC-0020	8759
23550	7590 06/22/2004		EXAMINER	
HOFFMAN WARNICK & D'ALESSANDRO, LLC			ISSING, GREGORY C	
3 E-COMM SQUARE ALBANY, NY 12207			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3662	
			DATE MAIL ED: 04/22/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/862,732	CHENG, TING DEAN	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	

Gregory C. Issing 3662

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to

final re condit	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) [	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in re, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1.	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.  NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.🛛	The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:
	Gregory C. Issing

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3662 - 703-306-4/56

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are not convincing. Alcorn et al do teach encoding with encryption the GPS longitude, latitude, and time and a PIN number for communication to the server (col. 7, lines 1-7); there is nothing in the claim language (identification generator) that distinguishes such from the prior art. additionally, there is a user input public key and a device generated private key. The mere encoding of the position and time, in fact meets the scope of the claimed identification generator. Applicant's remarks with respec to Fan are also not convincing since the modulator which is part of any transmission of data meets the scope of "an identification generator" since the transmitted message is generated contrary to the applicant's belief. Additionally, the the language "to ensure security, such a code word can be formed using an encryption process... to identify the first party." The authentication keys additionally provide identity of the devices. Also see col. 4, lines 60-67. The applicant's allegation that Nerlikar does not suggest an identification generator is not perusasive and is contrary to the teachings of Nerlikar, see co 4, par. 1 and 4 and col. 6, lines 14-17. These noted passages are merely exemplary of the teachings refuting the applicant's allegations Further, with respect to any of the prior art references, wireless communication/exchange of messages including position necessarily is associated with some form of identification in order to associate the position with a user.